

Journal Of Industrial Engineering Management

ISSN 2541 - 3090

E-ISSN 2503 - 1430

(JIEM Volume 8. No 3 Tahun 2023)

RANKED KEY FACTOR THAT AFFECT PERSON DECISION TRANSFORMING TO AN ELECTRIC VEHICLE: STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING

Ade Juliano¹, Winda Nur Cahyo^{2*}

1,2) Faculty of Industrial Technology, University of Islam Indonesia¹ Yogyakarta, 55584, Indonesia *)Coressponding E-mail: winda.nurcahyo@uii.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The development of electric vehicles (EVs) has significantly disrupted the world's road transportation industry. EVs are predicted to account for more than 50% of new vehicle sales globally by 2035, however demand will differ greatly from country to country. Automobile is one of Indonesia's key industry that support GDP, it is important for the industry to adapt to the transformation from ICE (Internal Combustion Engine) to EV (Electric Vehicle). Using the SEM (Structural Equation Model) Analysis approach, this research will help the automobile industry in Indonesia by reviewing and analyzing the key factor of Electric Vehicles. From this analysis, the industry can learn and implement the right strategy to penetrate the potential EV demand of Indonesia market.

Keywords: Electric Vehicle, Strategy, Transformation, Automotive, Structural Equation Modelling.

Article history:

Submitted 10 November 2022 Revised 17 July 2023 Accepted 13 October 2023 Available online 31 December 2023

Published By: Liscensed by: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Fakultas Teknologi Industri DOI : http://dx.doi.org/10.33536/jiem.v8i3.1487 Universitas Muslim Indonesia

Address:

Jl. Urip Sumoharjo Km. 5 (Kampus II UMI)

Makassar Sulawesi Selatan.

Email:

Jiem@umi.ac.id

Phone:

+6281341717729

+6281247526640





1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

The improvement of electric vehicles (EVs) has essentially disturbed the world's street transportation industry. EVs are anticipated to account for more than 50% of used vehicle globally by 2035, in any case request will vary enormously from nation to nation. EVs are presently common in numerous districts of the world. In response to the slow adoption of electric vehicles in the nation, the Indonesian government has issued a number of regulations for the expansion of the automotive industry. The government has set 2025 adoption goals of 2,200 electric vehicles and 2.1 million electric motorcycles. In addition, as stated in the Presidential Regulation No. 22 of 2017 of the Republic of Indonesia about the National Energy General Plan, the government established a target for Indonesia to be able to produce 2,200 electric or hybrid cars.

As the automobile industry is one of the vital supports for Indonesian GDP, it is important to keep the sustainability of the automobile industry by knowing the key factor and executing the proper strategy to have a seamless transition to the future of automobile. Understanding key factor of electric vehicles may enable the industry to pinpoint the elements that influence a person's decision to pur-chase an EV.

There are four variable that being used in this research, which is: Social Demographic, Financial Technological Development, Ecosys-tem. All of that variable is discovered through deductive study. Social Demo-graphic variable will cover age, gender, income, and current vehicle ownership. Financial Factor will cover on price of EV, the maintenance, Total Cost of Ownership, and subsidiary from government. Technological Development will cover on current state of battery range provided, battery cost, technical specification, and EV features. And lastly, the Ecosystem that will wrap about charging station avaibility, the portability of a charger, and service place for routine maintenance.

2. METHODS

2.1 Structural Equation Modelling

Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) is a method for research that analyses a collection of regression equations simultaneously. In other words, the purpose of SEM analysis is to analyses the relationship between 1 or more independent vari-ables and 1 or more dependent variables. Below shown is the factor and its hypothesis on the effect to the buying decision.

2.2 Questionnaire

To do the SEM Analysis, the researcher needs data from automotive observer on how is the current state of EV in Indonesia, how is the specification and gimmick feature, the price, and even their opinion on ecosystem and Total Cost of Owner-ship that EV faces. The form of the instrument used to collect data is in the form of a questionnaire.

To obtain questions related to this research problem, a list of several references that are relevant to the research problem and discussion is compiled. On this re-search, the questionnaire is shared to several automotive forums, combined from ICEV automobiles forum and EV automobile forums.

3. DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1. Hypothesis

According to the literature, this research will be built with these hypotheses, later on this hypothesis will be tested and analyzed using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM).

Table 1. Hypothesis

| | - | tion in The point contract of the point cont | |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| Demographic | Demographic H1 Age has a positive significant effect on | | |
| | | intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H2 | Gender has a positive significant effect on the | |
| | | intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | Н3 | Income has a positive significant effect on the | |
| | | intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H4 | Vehicle Ownership has a positive significant | |
| | | effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| Financial | H5 | EV Price has a positive significant effect on the | |
| | | intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | Н6 | EV Maintenance has a positive significant effect | |
| | | on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H7 | EV TCO has a positive significant effect on the | |
| | | intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | Н8 | EV Incentive/subsidiary has a positive significant | |
| | | effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| Technological | Н9 | EV Range Capacity has a positive significant | |
| Development | | effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H10 | EV ADAS Feature has a positive significant | |
| | | effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H11 | EV Technical Specification has a positive | |
| | | significant effect on the intention of buying an | |
| | | Electric Vehicle. | |
| Ecosystem | H12 | EV Charging Station Spreadness has a positive | |
| | | significant effect on the intention of buying an | |
| | | Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H13 | EV Charging Portability has a positive significant | |
| | | effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. | |
| | H14 | EV Aftersales Guarantee has a positive | |
| | | significant effect on the intention of buying an | |
| | | Electric Vehicle. | |
| | | | |

3.2 Respondent Demographic

This chapter reports on the response rate for the survey and structured record review, presents descriptive statistics of the sample and the variables used in the study using SEM AMOS, and presents inferential statistics which address the research hypothesis. Total of 158 questionnaire result were retrieved, below is the demographic factor of the respondent.

Table 2. Respondent Demographic

| Respondent Demographic | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Age | 17 - 25 | 32% | | |
| | 26 - 35 | 39% | | |
| | 36 - 45 | 20% | | |
| | 46 - 60 | 10% | | |
| Gender | Male | 79% | | |
| | Woman | 21% | | |
| Net Income | < Rp. 2.000.000 | 24% | | |
| | < Rp. 10.000.000 | 28% | | |
| | < Rp. 20.000.000 | 23% | | |
| | < Rp. 50.000.000 | 17% | | |
| | > Rp. 50.000.000 | 8% | | |
| Current Vehicle | ICEV / Conventional Combust | 70% | | |
| | EV / Electric Vehicle | 11% | | |
| | Hybrid Vehicle | 18% | | |

3.3. SEM Indicator and Variable Result

Table 3. SEM Indicator and Variable Result

| | | | Standard | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Indicator and Variable | | coefisien | t-value | p-value | |
| D1 | < | Demographic | 0.824 | 9.537 | 0.000 |
| D2 | < | Demographic | 0.535 | 6.273 | 0.000 |
| D3 | < | Demographic | 0.839 | 9.621 | 0.000 |
| D4 | < | Demographic | 0.742 | | 0.000 |
| F1 | < | Financial | 0.776 | 10.563 | 0.000 |
| F2 | < | Financial | 0.848 | 11.832 | 0.000 |
| F3 | < | Financial | 0.897 | 12.668 | 0.000 |
| F4 | < | Financial | 0.791 | | 0.000 |
| T1 | < | Technological_Development | 0.792 | 12.478 | 0.000 |
| T2 | < | Technological_Development | 0.853 | 14.167 | 0.000 |
| Т3 | < | Technological_Development | 0.885 | | 0.000 |
| E1 | < | Ecosystem | 0.834 | 12.825 | 0.000 |
| E2 | < | Ecosystem | 0.856 | 13.323 | 0.000 |
| E3 | < | Ecosystem | 0.856 | | 0.000 |

a) Influence of age on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that D1 (Age) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.824 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that D1 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H1 Age has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehi-cle. Supported by data and ranked no. 9 for the correlation to the buying inten-tion.

b) Influence of gender on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that D2 (Gender) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.535 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that D2 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H2 Gender has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. Supported by data and ranked no. 14 for the correlation to the buying intention.

c) Influence of net income on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that variable D3 (Net Income) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.839 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that D3 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypoth-esis H3 Net Income has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an

Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 7 for the correlation to the buying intention.

d) Influence of vehicle ownership on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that variable D4 (Vehicle Ownership) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.742 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that D4 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H4 Vehicle Ownership has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 13 for the correlation to the buying intention.

e) Influence of EV price on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that F1 (EV Price) variable obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.776 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that F1 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the H5 EV Price hypothesis has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 12 for the correlation to the buying intention.

f) Influence of maintenance cost on buying intention

According to the table 1010, It is discovered that Variable F2 (Maintenance Cost) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.848 with a probability value of 0.000 < 0.05, it can be stated that F2 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H6 EV Maintenance has a positive significant effect on the in-tention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 6 for the correlation to the buying intention.

g) Influence of total cost of ownership on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable F3 (Total Cost of Owner-ship) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.897 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that F3 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the H7 EV TCO hypothesis has a

positive significant effect on the in-tention of buying an Electric Vehicle. It is supported by data and ranked no. 1 for the correlation to the buying intention.

h) Influence of incentive on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable F4 (Incentive) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.791 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that F4 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the H8 EV Incentive/subsidiary hypothesis has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 11 for the corre-lation to the buying intention.

i) Influence of range capacity on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable T1 (Range Capacity) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.792 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that T1 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the hypothesis H9 EV Range Capacity has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 10 for the correlation to the buying intention.

i) Influence of feature on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable T2 (Feature) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.853 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that T2 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the hy-pothesis H10 EV ADAS Feature has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 5 for the correla-tion to the buying intention.

k) Influence of technical specification on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable T3 (Technical Specifica-tion) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.885 with a probability value of 0.000 < 0.05, it can be stated that T3 has a significant effect on buying

intention. Thus Hypothesis H11 EV Technical Specification has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no. 2 for the correlation to the buying intention.

 Influence of charging station vaibility on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable E1(Charging Station Avaibility) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.834 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that E1 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus the hypothesis H12 EV Charging Station Spreadness has a posi-tive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no.8 for the correlation to the buying intention.

m) Influence of portability of charger avaibility on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable E2 (Portability of Charger) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.856 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that E2 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H13 EV Charging Portability has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no.3 for the correlation to the buying intention.

n) Influence of aftersales guarantee avaibility on buying intention

According to the table 10, It is discovered that Variable E3 (Aftersales Guaran-tee) obtained a standard estimate coefficient of 0.856 with a probability value of 0.000 <0.05, it can be stated that E3 has a significant effect on buying intention. Thus Hypothesis H14 EV Aftersales Guarantee has a positive significant effect on the intention of buying an Electric Vehicle. supported by data and ranked no.4 for the correlation to the buying intention.

4.RESULT AND CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the importance of factors in Indonesia's adoption of Electric Vehicles. In the regression analysis using SEM, the Total Cost of Ownership, Technical specification, and charging portability has a significant impact on the buying intention Electric Vehicle in Indonesia.

The TCO's means that there is a demand for cheaper overall cost Electric Vehicle, this will make a larger volume of consumer, while in the other hands, the Technical Specification means that the consumer does seek and compare the Electric Vehicle performance to Conventional vehicle, these should be the advantage of the EV as the EV should have an instant performance due to the nature of EV. And lastly, charger portability means that there is demand for easier and simpler charging solution, that can raise the willingness of potential user to the EV.

This should be the primary focus for the current automobiles industry in a manner for transforming to the era of Electric Vehicle, it is hoped that by prioritizing this factor, the industry can lead their way to the new era more efficient and more powerful than the competitor...

ACKNOLEDGEMENT

This research is dedicated to all of my beloved family, friends, and my supervisor that give me infinity support, care, and the most importantly, sticking with me even though life goes up and down, through the best and the worst.

References

- Ahmad, S. (2020). Impact Assessment of Electric Car Charging on LV Grids.
- Albert C.L. (2020). Impact of digital transformation on the automotive industry. Technological Forecasting and Social Change
- Andersen M. et al. (2016). What Automaker can learn from Tesla Phenomenon.

 Automotive
- Anderson, J.C.; Gerbing, D.W. Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. Psychol. Bull. 1988, 103, 411.
- Bruckmann G et al. (2021). Battery Electric Vehicle adoption in regions without strong policies. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment
- Cheung GW: Testing equivalence in the structure, means, and variances of higher-order constructs with structural equation modeling. Organ Res Methods. 200
- Dolega L. (2021). Going digital? The impact of social media marketing on retail website traffic, orders and sales. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services
- E. A. Prasetio, P. Fajarindra Belgiawan, L. T. Anggarini, D. Novizayanti and S. Nurfatiasari, "Acceptance of Electric Vehicle in Indonesia: Case Study in Bandung," 2019 6th International Conference on Electric Vehicular Technology (ICEVT), 2019, pp. 63-71, doi: 10.1109/ICEVT48285.2019.8994010.
- Evantia A.N. (2021). Chapter 4 Market introduction of electric vehicles to urban are-as. Electric Vehicles for Smart Cities
- Falat L. (2017). The Influence of Marketing Communication on Financial Situation of the Company – A Case from Automobile Industry. Procedia Engineering

- Fei Y. (2021). Why do consumers choose to buy electric vehicles? A paired data analy-sis of purchase intention configurations. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice
- Fornell, C.; Larcker, D.F. Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. J. Mark. Res. 1981, 18, 39–50
- Gaines, L. (2014). The future of automotive lithium-ion battery recycling: Charting a sustainable course. Sustainable Materials and Technologies, 1, 2-7.
- Garcia A., et al. (2016). Impact of Government support on R&D and Innovation. Working paper. United Nations University. Maastricht
- HK Indonesien-German Indonesian Chamber of Industry and Commerce.
- Liu J.H., Meng Z. (2016). Innovation model analysis of new energy vehicles: taking Toyota, Tesla and BYD as an example. 13th Global Congress on Manufacturing and Management, GCMM
- Mandys F. (2021). Electric vehicles and consumer choices. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews
- Maoheng W. (2018). Research On The Brand Marketing Of Electric Vehicle. Business Administration. Siam University
- Martha Widhi Dela Utami, Yuniaristanto, Wahyudi Sutopo. (2020). Adoption Intention Model of Electric Vehicle in Indonesia. Solo.
- Nunnally, J.C.; Bernstein, I.H. Psychometric Theory, 3rd ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1994.
- R. M. Krause, S. R. Carley, B. W. Lane, and J. D. Graham, "Perception and reality: public knowledge of plug-in electric vehicles in 21U.S. cities", Energy Policy, vol. 63, pp. 433–440,2013.

- Rahadiansyah, R. 2020. Segini Penjualan Mobil Listrik di Indonesia. Retrieved from https://oto.detik.com/mobil/d5269946/segini-penjualan-mobil-listrik-di-indonesia
- Rajan Varadarajan. (2010). Strategic marketing and marketing strategy: domain, definition, fundamental issues and foundational premises. Conceptual/Theoritical Paper. Academy of Marketing Science
- Sharma S. et al. (2020). Storage technologies for Electric Vehicles. Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering
- T. Eccarius and C.C. Lu (2020), "Powered two-wheelers for sustainable mobility: A re-view of consumer adoption of electric motorcycles", International Journal of Sus-tainable Transportation,vol. 15(3), pp. 215-231
- Tjiptono Fandy. (2009). Marketing Strategy, second edition, seventh printing, Andi Offset Publisher, Yogyakarta.
- W. Sierzchula, S. Bakker, K. Maat, and B. Van Wee (2014), "The influence of financial incentives and other socio-economic factors on electric vehicle adoption", Energy Poli-cy,vol. 68,pp.183–194.
- Wicaksono, K. B., & Aprianingsih, A. (2021). Electric Car Penetration Potential in Indonesia. Jurnal Penelitian Transportasi Darat, 23(2), 142-149.
- Yuniza, M. E. (2021). Indonesias incentive policies on electric vehicles: the questionable effort from the government. 670216917.